1	wo	
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
8		
9	United States of America,	NO. 20-60256MJ-001
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
11	V.	
12	Jeyson Fernando Lainez-Cerrato,	
13	Defendant.	
14		
15	In accordance with the Ban Reform Act, 16 U.S.C. § 3142(1), a detention hearing has	
16		
17	preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	
18	FINDINGS OF FACT	
19		
20	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	
21		ited States or lawfully admitted for permanent
22	residence. It is residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.	
23		acts in the United States or in the District of
24	Arizona.	
25	☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
26	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
27	☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
28	☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant ☐ United States and has substantial family	but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the ties to Mexico.

1	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.		
2	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law		
3	enforcement. ☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.		
4	years imprisonment.		
5	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter executes noted in the record		
	except as noted in the record. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW		
7	1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.		
8	 No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. 		
10	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION		
11	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her		
12	designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extensi		
13	practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending		
14	appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an		
15	attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver		
16	the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.		
17	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE		
18	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the		
19	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for		
20	review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.		
21			
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing		
23	before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
24	investigate the potential third party custodian.		
25	DATE: 3/9/2020		
26	J & Maleal		
27	Honorable James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge		

28